
COSPAS-SARSAT LEOLUT COMMISSIONING STANDARD

C/S T.005
Issue 3 - Revision 2
March 2021

This document is provided as a complement to the final clean version of the document. In case of discrepancy between this marked-up version and the clean final version, the information in the clean final version shall prevail.



COSPAS-SARSAT LEOLUT
COMMISSIONING STANDARD

History

<u>Issue</u>	<u>Revision</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Comments</u>
1	-	December 1990	Approved (CSC-5)
2	-	October 1998	Approved (CSC-21)
2	1	October 1999	Approved (CSC-23)
2	2	October 2002	Approved (CSC-29)
2	3	October 2003	Approved (CSC-31)
2	4	October 2006	Approved (CSC-37)
2	5	November 2007	Approved (CSC-39)
3	-	October 2009	Approved (CSC-43)
3	1	October 2013	Approved (CSC-51)
3	2	March 2021	Approved (CSC-64)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Purpose	1-1
1.2 Scope	1-1
1.3 Reference Documents.....	1-1
2. LEOLUT COMMISSIONING	2-1
2.1 General	2-1
2.2 Pre-Test Requirements	2-1
2.3 Test Data Collection.....	2-2
2.4 Frequency Registration.....	2-2
2.5 Data Collection Limitation.....	2-2
2.6 Submission of Results	2-2
2.7 LEOLUT Commissioning and Integration.....	2-3
2.8 LEOLUT/MCC Interface	2-3
2.9 Confirmation of Requirements.....	2-3
2.10 Change of Location	2-3
3. EVALUATION OF OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS	3-1
3.1 LEOLUT Data Availability.....	3-1
3.2 Data Requirements	3-1
3.3 Data Channels.....	3-1
3.4 Satellite Tracking Capability.....	3-1
3.5 Satellite Visibility/Signal Lock	3-1
3.6 Status and Alarm	3-2
3.7 RF Radiation and Emissions	3-2
3.8 Data Archiving	3-2
4. EVALUATION OF FUNCTIONAL AND PROCESSING REQUIREMENTS ...	4-1
4.1 Functional Requirements.....	4-1
4.1.1 Antenna and RF Subsystem	4-1
4.1.2 Time and Frequency Reference Subsystem	4-1
4.1.3 Orbit Maintenance Subsystem	4-1
4.1.4 MCC Interface.....	4-1
4.2 Beacon Message Processing.....	4-1

5.	EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS	5-1
5.1	SARP Test	5-1
5.1.1	Satellite Passes	5-1
5.1.2	Orbitography or Reference Beacons	5-1
5.2	SARR Test.....	5-1
5.2.1	Test Beacons	5-1
5.2.2	Satellite Passes	5-2
5.3	Combined SARP/SARR Test.....	5-2
5.4	Combined LEO / GEO Processing.....	5-2
5.5	Solution Statistics	5-2
5.6	Minimum Point Solution Statistics.....	5-3
5.7	Solution Data	5-3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure G.1: Overview of LEOLUT Integration

LIST OF ANNEXES

Annex A: LEOLUT Commissioning Report
 Annex B: Statistics for Operational Requirements
 Annex C: Statistics for Performance Requirements
 Annex D: Beacon Simulator Test Script
 Annex E: Data Format
 Annex F: LEOLUT Commissioning - Principles and Policies
 Annex G: Guidelines for Integration of New LEOLUTs in the Cospas-Sarsat System
 Annex H: Guidelines for Registration of New LEOLUTs with ITU

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

The Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUT Commissioning Standard shall be used to verify that a LEOSAR Local User Terminal (LEOLUT) complies with the Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUT Performance Specification (C/S T.002). The national Administrations that wish to connect a new LEOLUT to the Cospas-Sarsat network shall conduct the tests and provide the data, which is specified in this document, to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat. For multi-LEOLUT environments, each LEOLUT shall be commissioned individually as if it were a stand-alone LEOLUT.

1.2 Scope

This standard specifies LEOLUT commissioning for the 406 MHz SARP channel (Processed Data Stream, PDS), the 406 MHz SARR channel (Ground Search and Rescue Processor, G-SARP), and for combined processing on the 406 MHz channels (i.e. SARP/SARR, SARP/GEOSAR, SARR/GEOSAR, and SARP/SARR/GEOSAR). Section 2 defines the general commissioning process, section 3 describes the evaluation of the operational requirements, section 4 specifies the commissioning of functional and processing requirements, and section 5 specifies the commissioning of performance requirements. The annexes define the test requirements, signal characteristics, test beacon messages, the format of the test data which is to be collected, and the format of the commissioning report which is to be submitted to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat.

1.3 Reference Documents

The following documents contain useful information applicable to LEOLUT commissioning:

- a. C/S A.001, Cospas-Sarsat Data Distribution Plan (DDP);
- b. C/S A.002, Cospas-Sarsat Mission Control Centres Standard Interface Description (SID);
- c. C/S A.005, Cospas-Sarsat MCC Performance Specification and Design Guidelines;
- d. C/S A.006, Cospas-Sarsat MCC Commissioning Standard;
- e. C/S T.001, Specification for Cospas-Sarsat 406 MHz Distress Beacons;
- f. C/S T.002, Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUT Performance Specification and Design Guidelines;
- g. C/S T.003, Description of the Cospas-Sarsat LEOSAR Space Segment;
- h. C/S T.006, Cospas-Sarsat Orbitography Network Specification;
- i. C/S T.009, Cospas-Sarsat GEOLUT Performance Specification and Design Guidelines; and
- j. C/S T.010, Cospas-Sarsat GEOLUT Commissioning Standard.

2. LEOLUT COMMISSIONING

2.1 General

The Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUT commissioning tests defined in this document are intended to be performed in addition to national acceptance tests, and are required prior to fully integrating a LEOLUT into the Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment. Conducting these tests and assessing the results is the responsibility of the national Administration desiring to commission a LEOLUT.

The tests verify the LEOLUT's ability to receive and process signals transmitting in the SARP channel and optionally the SARR channel in accordance with document C/S T.002 (LEOLUT specification). A cross reference of the requirements in C/S T.002 and the corresponding commissioning requirements specified in this document is provided in the "Summary Table" of the commissioning report (Annex A). The tests shall be conducted with the LEOLUT in its operational configuration and location, and connected to the associated MCC. However, the data should not be distributed to other Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment Operators.

The LEOLUT may be commissioned in various modes depending on the configuration of the LEOLUT, and the data channels processed. The SARP channel is specifically tested with the use of global-mode PDS data and local-mode PDS data. The SARR channel is specifically tested with the use of data obtained only from the G-SARP. If the LEOLUT is expected to operate with combined data from the SARP and SARR channels or with data from GEOLUTs, the combined modes of operation should be analyzed and reported separately in the commissioning report (Annex A), as specified in section 5.1.4.

For LEOLUTs which use data from GEOLUTs for combined LEO/GEO processing, it is a prerequisite that the GEOLUTs meet the specification requirements of document C/S T.009 and be commissioned in accordance with document C/S T.010, in addition to the specific LEO/GEO commissioning requirements detailed herein.

2.2 Pre-Test Requirements

Prior to commencing the test, the national Administration conducting the test shall coordinate with appropriate authorities in its SAR region, as well as notifying all affected Cospas-Sarsat MCCs, of the test periods of operation and the test beacon location(s), and is also invited to provide this information to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat.

2.3 Test Data Collection

The commissioning process for the SARP and SARR channels comprises the collection of specific beacon messages and the specified numbers of solutions. If a LEOLUT has the capability to process data from multiple channels or multiple sources, the data acquisition for the commissioning tests may be conducted individually or in parallel.

Data should be collected from all available channels from all commissioned satellites.

2.4 Frequency Registration

Administrations should register their LEOLUT's use of the 1544.5 MHz frequency band by "notifying" their LEOLUTs with the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) in accordance with article 11 of the radio regulations. The information required to notify LEOLUTs is identified at Annex H. The ITU only accepts notification requests submitted in electronic format and has developed a software application, available free of charge from their web site, which captures the required information and produces the necessary electronic file.

2.5 Data Collection Limitation

Only the data collected from those satellite channels that comply with documents C/S T.003 (LEOSAR space segment description) and C/S T.011 (GEOSAR payload description) shall be used for the statistical data analysis. Data from satellites in an Initial Operational Capability (IOC) status should not be used for test data collection.

2.6 Submission of Results

The results of the LEOLUT commissioning process, shall be documented in the commissioning report, in accordance with the format detailed at Annex A.

The complete commissioning report and the data files detailed at Annex E are to be submitted to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat for further evaluation, and distribution to Participants for subsequent review at the Joint Committee. Revisions or updates to commissioning reports should be provided to the Secretariat with a clear indication given on the cover page of the sections that have been revised and a short description of the nature of the revisions.

In order to provide Participants with sufficient time to adequately review the commissioning report, all reports (or updates) must be submitted to the Secretariat a minimum of four weeks prior to the start of the Joint Committee meeting. Submissions received after this date will be considered for review at the following Joint Committee meeting.

2.7 LEOLUT Commissioning and Integration

The test results, as defined in the annexes shall be submitted to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat. The results will be reviewed by the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat and submitted to the Joint Committee. The LEOLUT will be integrated into the Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment as described in Annex F and Annex G.

2.8 LEOLUT/MCC Interface

Validation of the LEOLUT/MCC interface shall be completed by the national Administration as part of the LEOLUT commissioning.

2.9 Confirmation of Requirements

The national Administration shall confirm compliance to all requirements detailed in this document with either a measurement, verification, declaration, or combination of these methods. The exact method of confirming compliance for each respective requirement is identified in the summary table of the commissioning report (Annex A).

A measurement requires the national Administration to conduct a test and include the supporting data as part of the commissioning report. A verification requires a national Administration to test a requirement, however, supporting data does not need to be provided as part of the commissioning report. A declaration of compliance confirms that specific requirements are met although not necessarily tested as part of the commissioning process. Conformance to all requirements shall be documented in the commissioning report.

2.10 Change of Location

If the location of a commissioned LEOLUT has been changed, the responsible national Administration shall ensure that the LEOLUT continues to satisfy C/S T.002 requirements prior to resuming operations. Additionally, the national Administration shall:

- a. confirm that the level of local interference does not adversely affect LEOLUT performance;
- b. verify the performance of the communication links in the new location;
- c. verify the performance of the antenna and RF subsystems; and
- d. update the technical file of the LEOLUT commissioning report (Appendix 1 to Annex A refers) by providing a declaration that the LEOLUT satisfies C/S T.002 requirements and the following information, to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat:

- (i) Antenna Characteristics (Annex A, Appendix 1, section A.2.1),
- (ii) General LUT Indoor Equipment Description (Annex A, Appendix 1, section A.2.2) - identify any changes to the equipment configuration, or indicate “no change” if the configuration has not been changed,
- (iii) General Capabilities (Annex A, Appendix 1, section A.2.3), - identify any changes to the general capabilities of the LEOLUT, or indicate “no change” if appropriate,
- (iv) Communications Capability (Annex A, Appendix 1, section A.4),
- (v) Coverage (Annex A, Appendix 1, section A.5),
- (vi) Location (Annex A, Appendix 1, section A.6).

- END OF SECTION 2 -

This document has been
superseded by a later version

3. EVALUATION OF OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

3.1 LEOLUT Data Availability

The LEOLUT data availability shall be measured during the commissioning period in accordance with guidance provided at Annex B. If any basic function or requirement is not performed by the LEOLUT, the LEOLUT data shall be considered unavailable.

3.2 Data Requirements

The national Administration shall ensure that the LEOLUT provides the data necessary for the associated MCC to distribute alert data according to the document C/S A.002 (SID). This shall be verified and noted in the appropriate section of the LEOLUT commissioning report.

3.3 Data Channels

The data channels processed by the LEOLUT shall be listed in the commissioning report. The national Administration shall verify that the appropriate data channel requirements, as detailed at document C/S T.002 (LEOLUT specification), are satisfied, and note this in the appropriate section of the LEOLUT commissioning report. Furthermore, if the LEOLUT implements combined LEO/GEO processing, the identification of the sources of the GEOSAR data used shall be declared in the appropriate section of the commissioning report.

3.4 Satellite Tracking Capability

The LEOLUT's ability to track and recover data shall be measured for all scheduled passes during the commissioning period, according to the guidance provide at Annex B. The test results and associated analysis shall be included in the appropriate sections of the commissioning report.

3.5 Satellite Visibility/Signal Lock

The national Administration shall conduct the tests and record the measurements detailed at Annex B, to demonstrate conformance with the satellite visibility specification contained at document C/S T.002 (LEOLUT specification). The test results and associated analysis shall be included in the appropriate sections of the commissioning report.

3.6 Status and Alarm

The national Administration shall describe the status and alarm functions of the LEOLUT and declare compliance in the appropriate section of the LEOLUT commissioning report.

3.7 RF Radiation and Emissions

The national Administration shall ensure that the LEOLUT does not radiate or emit any radio frequency signals that will interfere with the functioning of the Cospas-Sarsat System. This shall be declared in the appropriate section of the LEOLUT commissioning report.

3.8 Data Archiving

The national Administration shall describe the data archiving capability of the LEOLUT and declare compliance in the appropriate section of the LEOLUT commissioning report.

- END OF SECTION 3 -

This document has been superseded by a later version

4. EVALUATION OF FUNCTIONAL AND PROCESSING REQUIREMENTS

4.1 Functional Requirements

4.1.1 Antenna and RF Subsystem

The national Administration shall verify that the antenna and RF subsystem of the LEOLUT can acquire, track and receive the downlink signals from Cospas-Sarsat satellites. This verification shall be documented in the LEOLUT commissioning report.

4.1.2 Time and Frequency Reference Subsystem

The national Administration shall declare in the LEOLUT commissioning report, the LEOLUT's capability to maintain time and frequency subsystems according to document C/S T.002 (LEOLUT specification).

4.1.3 Orbit Maintenance Subsystem

The national Administration shall declare, in the LEOLUT commissioning report, the LEOLUT's capability to maintain accurate satellite orbital elements and tracking schedules as described in document C/S T.002 (LEOLUT specification).

4.1.4 MCC Interface

The national Administration shall verify that the LEOLUT provides timely alert data of the level of quality and detail specified in documents C/S A.002 (SID) and C/S A.005 (MCC specification). This verification shall be documented in the LEOLUT commissioning report.

4.2 Beacon Message Processing

The national Administration shall conduct tests, and record the results in the appropriate section of the commissioning report, which confirm the LEOLUTs compliance to the "channels processing specifications" detailed in document C/S T.002 (LEOLUT specification).

These tests require the LEOLUT to process the data described at Annex D in the manner prescribed. The processing consists of bit verification, message validation, message processing and transmission. The specific beacon test messages contained at Annex D can be transmitted by a test beacon or a beacon simulator.

National Administrations should ensure that test beacons or simulators are capable of transmitting the beacon messages at the frequencies described at Annex D. Alternatively, national Administrations may arrange for the simulators in the United States or France to uplink the messages contained at Annex D. If the test messages cannot be transmitted during the commissioning period, the national Administration may develop alternative methods of confirming compliance with the beacon message processing requirements and proceed with the commissioning test, and preparation and submission of the commissioning report. The unavailability of the test beacon or simulators to uplink the test messages contained at Annex D shall not prevent the LEOLUT from being commissioned, if other adequate methods are used. If alternative methods are used, these methods shall be completely documented in the commissioning report.

- END OF SECTION 4 -

*This document has been
superseded by a later version*

5. EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

The national Administration shall conduct the tests defined at Annex C to confirm conformance with the LEOLUT performance requirements detailed at document C/S T.002 (LEOLUT specification). The results of these tests shall be included in the LEOLUT commissioning report. Additional guidance pertaining to the tests detailed at Annex C is provided in the remainder of this section.

5.1 SARP Test

SARP data shall be collected from the available Cospas-Sarsat orbitography/test beacons (see Cospas-Sarsat website www.cospas-sarsat.org). At least one test/orbitography beacon shall be outside the local-mode coverage area.

5.1.1 Satellite Passes

The performance measurement test shall consist of receiving and processing all scheduled passes during the test period. Global-mode data shall be obtained at least once from each available Cospas and Sarsat satellite.

A pass schedule for all satellite passes during the test period, as defined in Annex E, shall be submitted to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat.

5.1.2 Orbitography or Reference Beacons

A list of those orbitography or reference beacons that are used by the LEOLUT during the SARP test for updating the orbital elements shall be provided in the commissioning report. Solution data from these beacons shall not be used in the calculation of the LEOLUT performance statistics for those passes in which they are used for updating the orbits.

5.2 SARR Test

A test shall be conducted to confirm that the LEOLUT local-mode SARR processing satisfies the performance requirements detailed in document C/S T.002. This test will be conducted using only data received via the SARR channel.

5.2.1 Test Beacons

Local-mode tests shall be conducted with type-approved Cospas-Sarsat 406 MHz beacons coded with the test protocol and/or approved beacon simulators (i.e. not an

orbitography beacon). The beacons must be placed within the LEOLUT local-mode coverage area at a position known to within 100 metres and shall remain fixed at this position throughout the test. The beacon locations shall be given with respect to the reference system given in section 5.2.1. The test beacons shall not be used for updating the orbital elements.

5.2.2 Satellite Passes

Local-mode tests shall consist of receiving and processing all scheduled passes from all commissioned satellites during the test period.

5.3 Combined SARP/SARR Test

If the LEOLUT is expected to operate with combined data from the SARP and SARR channels, then a test shall be conducted to confirm that the LEOLUT satisfies the performance requirements detailed in document C/S T.002. This test will be conducted using data received from the SARP (local and global) and the G-SARP, and shall include data received from both within and external to the LEOLUT's local-mode coverage area.

5.4 Combined LEO/GEO Processing

If the LEOLUT is expected to perform combined LEO/GEO processing, then tests shall be conducted to confirm that the LEOLUT satisfies the LEO/GEO performance requirements detailed in document C/S T.002. The following channel combinations shall be tested if they are used operationally:

- a. combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR; and
- b. combined SARR/GEOSAR.

5.5 Solution Statistics

All solutions from test/orbitography beacons used for commissioning shall be collected. Statistics shall be calculated on two types of solutions.

- a. solutions meeting the following criteria:
 - (i) having four (4) or more data points,
 - (ii) data points bracketing the TCA of the satellite to the beacon, and
 - (iii) having an absolute value of cross-track angle ($|CTA|$) between 1 degree and 20 degrees,shall be classified as nominal solutions.
- b. solutions not meeting the criteria above shall be classified as marginal solutions.

The statistics which shall be derived are listed in Annex C.

5.6 Minimum Point Solution Statistics

LEOLUTs which implement combined LEO/GEO processing shall provide minimum point location accuracy solution statistics for all LEO/GEO channel combinations listed in 5.1.4 in addition to the requirements detailed in section 5.1.5.

Minimum point solutions are defined as those solutions which are calculated from only two LEOSAR data points plus data from the GEOSAR system. Since minimum point solutions are a subset of marginal solutions, they are incorporated into the marginal solutions statistics¹. Additionally, the minimum point solution location accuracy statistics shall be reported separately.

5.7 Solution Data

The solution data from all tracked satellite passes shall be provided for each orbitography, beacon simulator, and/or test beacon used during the test period. A minimum of:

- a. 1000 nominal solutions shall be used for the SARP test (SARP data only);
- b. 100 nominal solutions for the combined SARP/SARR test (all solutions shall include SARP and SARR data);
- c. 50 nominal solutions shall be used for the local-mode SARR test (SARR data only);
- d. 100 nominal solutions and 100 minimum point solutions for the combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR tests; and
- e. 100 nominal solutions and 100 minimum point solutions for the combined SARR/GEOSAR test.

All solutions shall be recorded in ASCII format onto a diskette and provided to the Secretariat as part of the commissioning report.

The required data elements to be included in the commissioning report ASCII files, are listed in Annex E.

- END OF SECTION 5 -

¹ When only two data points are available, the processing does not have sufficient data to compute an ambiguity resolution probability; the ambiguity resolution probability is always set to 50%. For this reason, there is no specified requirement for ambiguity resolution of minimum point solutions, and the requirement for marginal solutions is specified only for those marginal solutions that are not minimum point solutions.

ANNEXES TO

COSPAS-SARSAT LEOLUT

COMMISSIONING STANDARD

This document has been
superseded by a later version

ANNEX A**LEOLUT COMMISSIONING REPORT**

Country or national Administration: _____

Location of LEOLUT: _____

Cospas-Sarsat Identifier: _____

Operational Configuration _____ (see Note)

Start of Commissioning Period: _____

End of Commissioning Period: _____

Section 1.0 contains a summary of the commissioning results as well as the declarations (D) and verifications (V), by the national Administration, for requirements not specifically measured.

The organization of the summary table follows the requirements contained in document C/S T.002. Section 2.0 contains the measurements (M) to support the results presented in section 1.0, a copy of the associated data is provided in ASCII format as required by document C/S T.005. A technical description of the LEOLUT is presented in Appendix 1.

Note: When combined LEO/GEO processing is implemented, the sources (i.e. satellite and GEOLUT) of any GEOSAR data used by LEOLUT shall be identified.

1.0 Summary Table

Paragraph in C/S T.002	Requirement or Test	Pass Criteria	Result	Pass/Fail	Method of Compliance	Declaration/Verification or Comments
3.1	LEOLUT Data Availability	$A \geq 95$			M	
3.2	Data Requirements	n/a	n/a		V	
3.3	Data Channels	Minimum 406 MHz Channel	n/a		V	
3.4	Satellite Tracking Capability	$ST > 99$			M	
3.5	Satellite Visibility/Signal Lock	$L = 0$			M	
3.6	Status and Alarm	n/a	n/a		D	Include description in technical file
3.7	RF Radiation/Emissions	n/a	n/a		D	
3.8	Data Archiving	n/a	n/a		D	
4.1.1	Antenna and RF Subsystem	n/a	n/a		V	
4.1.2	Time and Frequency Reference Subsystem	n/a	n/a		V	

Paragraph in C/S T.002	Requirement or Test	Pass Criteria	Result	Pass/Fail	Method of Compliance	Declaration/Verification or Comments
4.1.3	Orbit Maintenance Subsystem	Maintenance for up to 8 Satellites. Update using 2 Methods.	n/a		D	
4.1.4	MCC Interface	n/a	n/a		V	
4.2.2.1	SARP Channel Data Recovery	n/a	n/a		V	Ability to recover global and local-mode PDS data
4.2.2.2	SARR Channel Data Recovery	Exact Match on 9 Bit Frame Synch	n/a		D	Achieve identical match on 9 bit frame synchronization
See para 2.2.4.B to C/S T.001	Bit Rate Tolerance Check Test - BR1	M/N > 0.75	n/a		M	
4.2.3	Bit Verification Test - BV1	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
	Bit Verification Test - BV2	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
4.2.4	Beacon Message Validation Test - MV1	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
	Beacon Message Validation Test - MV2	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a			
	Beacon Message Validation Test - MV3	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
	Beacon Message Validation Test - MV4	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
4.2.5	Beacon Message Processing Test - LP1	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
	Beacon Message Processing Test - LP2	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
	Beacon Message Processing Test - LP3	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
	Beacon Message Processing Test - LP4	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
	Beacon Message Processing Test - IV1	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
4.2.6	Time and Frequency Requirements	n/a	n/a		D	
4.2.7	Doppler Processing and Validation Test - DP1	n/a See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
4.2.7.1	Selection of Data Points	--	--	--	--	Requirement the same as requirement 4.2.4 in C/S T.002
4.2.7.2	Rejection of Data Points Test - DP2	See Annex D to C/S T.005	n/a		M	
4.2.7.3	Combining Global/Local-mode SARP Data	n/a	n/a		D	
4.2.7.4	Combining SARR/SARP Data	n/a	n/a		D	

Paragraph in C/S T.002	Requirement or Test	Pass Criteria	Result	Pass/ Fail	Method of Compliance	Declaration/Verification or Comments
4.2.7.5	Combining LEO/GEO Data	n/a	n/a		V	Alert msg to MCC shall include sources of GEO data & indicate beacon freq. Stability/instability.
4.2.7.6	Validation of Doppler Locations	n/a	n/a		D	
4.2.7.7	Beacon Message Assignment	--	--	--	--	Requirement the same as requirement 4.2.5 in C/S T.002
4.2.8	Transmission of Alert Data	--	--	--	--	Requirement the same as requirement 3.2 in C/S T.002
5.1.1	RF Signal Margin	n/a	n/a		D	
5.1.2	Processing Time	PT < 15 min for 99% of passes			M	Requirement applicable to all processing combinations
5.1.3	Orbit Determination	Error $\leq$ 2 km	n/a		D	
5.1.4	Ambiguity Resolution	A + B = 100			V	
5.1.5	SARP Error Ellipse Nominal Solutions	N/A			M	
	SARP Error Ellipse Marginal Solutions	N/A			M	
	SARR Error Ellipse Nominal Solutions	N/A			M	
	SARR Error Ellipse Marginal Solutions	N/A			M	
5.2.1	SARP Data Recovery	DR $\geq$ 1.0			M	
5.2.2	SARP Time and Frequency Calculation	1 millihertz and 1 millisecond resolution			D	
5.2.3	SARP Beacon Capacity	90 beacons in local-mode 200 beacons in global-mode			D	
5.2.4	SARP Location Accuracy	M/N $\geq$ 0.95 (5 km)			M	
	Nominal Solutions	M/N $\geq$ 0.98 (10 km)			M	
	SARP Location Accuracy	M/N $\geq$ 0.60 (5 km)			M	
	Marginal Solutions	M/N $\geq$ 0.80 (20 km)			M	
5.2.5	SARP Ambiguity Resolution Nominal Solutions	M/N $\geq$ 0.90			M	
	SARP Ambiguity Resolution Marginal Solutions	M/N $\geq$ 0.60			M	
5.3.1	SARR Signal Sensitivity	Signal Sensitivity better than 36dB-Hz			D	

Paragraph in C/S T.002	Requirement or Test	Pass Criteria	Result	Pass/Fail	Method of Compliance	Declaration/Verification or Comments
5.3.2	SARR Beacon Message Throughput	$T \geq 0.75$			M	
5.3.3	SARR Probability of Doppler Location	$PDL \geq 0.95$			M	
5.3.4	SARR Time and Frequency Calculation	350 millihertz and 10 ms			D	
5.3.5	SARR Beacon Capacity	10 beacons			D	
5.3.6	SARR Location Accuracy	$M/N \geq 0.95$ (5 km)			M	
	Nominal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.98$ (10 km)			M	
	SARR Location Accuracy	$M/N \geq 0.60$ (5 km)			M	
	Marginal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.80$ (20 km)			M	
5.3.7	SARR Ambiguity Resolution Nominal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.90$			M	
	SARR Ambiguity Resolution Marginal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.60$			M	
5.3.8	False Alert Prevention	False Alerts Caused by Processing Anomalies $< 1 \times 10^{-4}$			D,V	
5.3.9	Processing Bandwidth	406.010 to 406.090 MHz			D	
5.4	Combined SARP and SARR Processing	as per document C/S T.002			D	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine SARP and SARR data
5.4.a	Combined SARP and SARR Location Accuracy Nominal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.95$ (5 km)			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine SARP and SARR data
		$M/N \geq 0.98$ (10 km)			M	
	Combined SARP and SARR Location Accuracy	$M/N \geq 0.60$ (5 km)			M	
	Marginal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.80$ (20 km)			M	
5.4.b	Combined SARP and SARR Ambiguity Resolution Nominal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.90$			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine SARP and SARR data
	Combined SARP and SARR Ambiguity Resolution Marginal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.60$			M	

Paragraph in C/S T.002	Requirement or Test	Pass Criteria	Result	Pass/Fail	Method of Compliance	Declaration/Verification or Comments
5.4.c	Combined SARP and SARR Error Ellipse Nominal Solutions	N/A			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine SARP and SARR data
	Combined SARP and SARR Error Ellipse Marginal Solutions	N/A			M	
5.5	Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Processing	as per document C/S T.002			D	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine LEOSAR and GEOSAR data
5.5.1.a	Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Processing	$M/N \geq 0.95$ (5 km)			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine LEOSAR and GEOSAR data
	Location Accuracy Nominal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.98$ (10 km)			M	
	Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Processing	$M/N \geq 0.60$ (5 km)			M	
	Location Accuracy Marginal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.80$ (20 km)			M	
5.5.2	Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Processing	$M/N \geq 0.60$ (5 km)			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine LEOSAR and GEOSAR data
	Location Accuracy Minimum Point Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.80$ (20 km)			M	
5.5.1.b	Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Processing Ambiguity Resolution Nominal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.90$			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine LEOSAR and GEOSAR data
	Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Processing Ambiguity Resolution Marginal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.60$			M	Excluding minimum point solutions
5.5.1.c	Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Processing Error Ellipse Nominal Solutions	N/A			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine LEOSAR and GEOSAR data
	Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Processing Error Ellipse Marginal Solutions	N/A			M	
5.5	Combined SARR/GEOSAR Processing	as per document C/S T.002			D	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine SARP and GEOSAR data

Paragraph in C/S T.002	Requirement or Test	Pass Criteria	Result	Pass/ Fail	Method of Compliance	Declaration/Verification or Comments
5.5.1.a	Combined SARR/GEOSAR Location Accuracy Nominal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.95$ (5 km)			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine SARR and GEOSAR data
		$M/N \geq 0.98$ (10 km)			M	
	Combined SARR/GEOSAR Location Accuracy Marginal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.60$ (5 km)			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine LEOSAR and GEOSAR data
		$M/N \geq 0.80$ (20 km)			M	
5.5.2	Combined SARR/GEOSAR Location Accuracy Minimum Point Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.60$ (5 km)			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine LEOSAR and GEOSAR data
		$M/N \geq 0.80$ (20 km)			M	
5.5.1.b	Combined SARR/GEOSAR Ambiguity Resolution Nominal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.90$			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine SARR and GEOSAR data
	Combined SARR/GEOSAR Ambiguity Resolution Marginal Solutions	$M/N \geq 0.60$			M	Excluding minimum point solutions
5.5.1.c	Combined SARR/GEOSAR Error Ellipse Nominal Solutions	N/A			M	Only applicable to LEOLUTs which combine SARR and GEOSAR data
	Combined SARR/GEOSAR Error Ellipse Marginal Solutions	N/A			M	

2.0 Results of Measurements

This section contains the detailed results of measurements summarized in section 1.0. Each of the requirements is referred to in the report by the report reference number. The test procedure column identifies the reference in document C/S T.005, where the detailed test procedure and pass / fail criteria is provided.

Report Ref #	Title	Test Procedure
2.1	Operational Requirements	
2.1.1	LEOLUT Data Availability (A)	B.1
2.1.2	Satellite Tracking (ST)	B.2
2.1.3	Satellite Visibility/Signal Lock (L)	B.3
2.2	Functional and Processing Requirements	
2.2.1	Bit Verification (test code sequence BV1 - BV2)	D1
2.2.2	Beacon Message Validation (test code sequence MV1 - MV4)	D1
2.2.3	Beacon Message Processing (test code sequence LP1 - LP4 and IV1)	D1
2.2.4	Doppler Processing and Validation (test code sequence DP1)	D1
2.2.5	Rejection of Data Points (test code sequence DP2)	D1
2.3	Performance Requirements	
2.3.1	Processing Time (PT)	C.1.2
2.3.2	SARP Statistics	
2.3.2.1	SARP Data Recovery (DR)	C.2.1
2.3.2.2	SARP Location Accuracy	C.2.2
2.3.2.3	SARP Ambiguity Resolution	C.2.3
2.3.2.4	SARP Error Ellipse	C.2.4
2.3.3	SARR Statistics	
2.3.3.1	SARR Beacon Message Throughput (T)	C.2.5
2.3.3.2	SARR Probability of Doppler Location (PDL)	C.2.6
2.3.3.3	SARR Location Accuracy	C.2.2
2.3.3.4	SARR Ambiguity Resolution	C.2.3
2.3.3.5	SARR Error Ellipse	C.2.4

2.3.4 Combined SARP / SARR Statistics

2.3.4.1	Location Accuracy	C.2.2
2.3.4.2	Ambiguity Resolution	C.2.3
2.3.4.3	Error Ellipse	C.2.4

2.3.5 Combined SARP/GEOSAR or SARP/SARR/GEOSAR Statistics

2.3.5.1	Location Accuracy	C.2.2
2.3.5.2	Ambiguity Resolution	C.2.3
2.3.5.3	Error Ellipse	C.2.4

2.3.6 Combined SARR/GEOSAR Statistics

2.3.6.1	Location Accuracy	C.2.2
2.3.6.2	Ambiguity Resolution	C.2.3
2.3.6.3	Error Ellipse	C.2.4

3.0 Other Information

3.1 The list of orbitography beacons used for orbit updates.

Appendix 1 - Technical File

A.1 General

This appendix defines LUT information to be provided by national Administrations for LUT commissioning. The following information is required as a minimum:

A.2 LUT Hardware Description

A.2.1 Antenna Characteristics

- a. type and number of antennas
- b. gain/temperature ratio (G/T) at 5° elevation angle above the local horizon, and actual elevation angle at which the G/T was measured
- c. operational limitations
- d. dedicated or shared

A.2.2 General LUT Indoor Equipment Description

- a. equipment complement
- b. stand alone, shared, or collocated with MCC
- c. LUT manufacturer and model number
- d. status and alarm functions

A.2.3 General Capabilities

- a. processing capability (SARP and SARR)
- b. interference-monitoring capability
- c. combined LEO/GEO processing capabilities

A.3 Processing

- a. specific-performance capability
- b. manufacturer specifications
- c. bit error rate on PDS channel at E_b/N_o of 13 dB
- d. list of orbitography beacons used for orbit updating during the global-mode test
- e. G-SARP parameters (processing bandwidth, signal sensitivity and beacon processing capacity)

A.4 Communications Capability

- a. primary-mode configuration
- b. backup-mode configuration

A.5 Coverage

- a. site-horizon profile
- b. operational-tracking (elevation) limits

A.6 Location ²

- a. latitude and longitude (of antenna)
- b. altitude

- END OF ANNEX A -

This document has been
superseded by a later version

² The location shall be given with respect to the Bureau International de L'Heure (BIH) geodetic reference system.

ANNEX B**STATISTICS FOR OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS****B.1 LEOLUT Data Availability (A)**

LEOLUT data availability measures the probability of receiving complete and accurate LEOLUT data at the MCC. Whenever a LEOLUT does not perform any of its functions, downtime (DT) is measured from LOS of the last successful satellite pass to AOS of the next successful satellite pass. Availability (A) is expressed as a percentage and is calculated by dividing the amount of operational time (OT) by the time required to be in operation (OTR). The time required to be in operation (OTR), expressed in hours, is 24 times the number of days in the commissioning period inclusive of all maintenance downtime. Downtime is that period of time when the LEOLUT fails to perform any of its basic functions. Therefore:

$A = (OT / OTR) * 100 = (1 - (DT / OTR)) * 100$ and shall be greater than 95.

B.2 Satellite Tracking (ST)

$ST = N/M * 100$ and shall be greater than 99, where M = number of non-conflicting passes visible to the LEOLUT with a maximum elevation angle of 5° above the horizon during the commissioning period, and N = number of successfully tracked passes.

B.3 Satellite Visibility/Signal Lock (L)

L = number of passes that the LEOLUT lost carrier lock on the satellite downlink carrier signal while the satellite was above a 5° elevation angle (except where local obstructions prevent this). L shall be equal to 0.

- END OF ANNEX B -

ANNEX C**STATISTICS FOR PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS****C.1 Commissioning Status****C.1.1 General**

This annex describes the statistics to be provided to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat when commissioning a LEOLUT. Data sets pertaining to number of points, TCA and CTA for nominal solutions and marginal solutions are defined in sections 5.1.4.

For 406 MHz statistics, the report must include a list of:

- a) all 406 MHz beacons which were used for orbit updating during the test period; and
- b) all 406 MHz beacons used for the statistical data analysis.

C.1.2 Processing Time (PT)

Processing time is defined as the elapsed time between the Loss Of Signal (LOS) and the time at which all processed solutions are transmitted to the MCC. Processing time is evaluated for the LEOLUT in its operational configuration (i.e. when it is configured to process all channels as described in section 3.3).

$PT = N - LOS$, where N is the time when all solutions are transmitted to the MCC and LOS is the loss of signal. PT shall be less than 15 minutes for 99% of all passes. The minimum, average and maximum processing times for the commissioning period shall be provided.

C.2 406 MHz Statistics**C.2.1 Data Recovery (DR)**

$$DR = \frac{\text{Number of received 2.4 kbps frames}}{\text{Number of expected 2.4 kbps frames while satellite is above } 5^\circ \text{ elevation angle}}$$

DR shall be 1.0 or greater for each pass.

This test is required for SARP only commissioning. It is not required for any combined channel processing commissioning.

C.2.2 Location Accuracy

Nominal Solutions

M/N shall be greater than or equal to the following:

0.95 where:

M = number of solutions within 5 km

N = number of solutions

0.98 where:

M = number of solutions within 10 km

N = number of solutions

A histogram for the location accuracy of the correct solutions shall be provided. The histogram shall be in 2 km increments from 0 to 20 km. All solutions with an error greater than 20 km shall be individually listed and an explanation for the error provided.

Marginal Solutions

M/N shall be greater than or equal to the following:

0.60 where:

M = number of solutions within 5 km

N = number of solutions

0.80 where:

M = number of solutions within 20 km

N = number of solutions

Minimum Point Solutions

M/N shall be greater than or equal to the following:

0.60 where:

M = number of solutions within 5 km

N = number of solutions

0.80 where:

M = number of solutions within 20 km

N = number of solutions

Testing the minimum point solution accuracy is only applicable to combined LEO - GEO Processing channel combinations.

A histogram for the location accuracy of the correct solutions shall be provided. The histogram shall be in 5 km increments from 0 to 50 km. All solutions with an error greater than 50 km shall be individually listed and an explanation for the error provided.

C.2.3 Ambiguity Resolution

Nominal Solutions

For the global-mode, the M/N shall be greater than or equal to 0.90, where:

M = number of solutions with correct "A" solution

N = number of solutions

Marginal Solutions (Excluding minimum point solutions)

For the global-mode, the M/N shall be greater than or equal to 0.60, where:

M = number of solutions with correct "A" solution

N = number of solutions

C.2.4 Error Ellipse

Nominal Solutions

For the global-mode, the M/N shall be reported where:

M = number of solutions for which the actual location falls inside the error ellipse

N = number of solutions

Marginal Solutions

For the global-mode, the M/N shall be reported where:

M = number of solutions for which the actual location falls inside the error ellipse

N = number of solutions

C.2.5 Beacon Message Throughput (T)

For each test beacon event calculate:

$T = N / (D/R + 1)$, where:

N = Number of valid messages recovered by the G-SARP

D = Duration of the curve (first valid point to last valid point)

R = Repetition rate of the test beacon

The average value of T for all beacon events shall be 0.75 or greater.

This test is required for G-SARP only commissioning. It is not required for any combined channel processing commissioning.

C.2.6 Probability of Doppler Location (PDL)

PDL = M/N , where:

M = Number of satellite passes with 4 minutes of mutual visibility between the LEOLUT, satellite and test beacon at 5° elevation angle where a Doppler solution for the test beacon was calculated

N = Total number of satellite passes with 4 minutes of mutual visibility between the LEOLUT, satellite and test beacon at 5° elevation angle

PDL shall be 0.95 or greater

This test is required for G-SARP only commissioning. It is not required for any combined channel processing commissioning.

- END OF ANNEX C -

This document has been superseded by a later version

ANNEX D

BEACON SIMULATOR TEST SCRIPT

D.1 Test Messages to be Transmitted

Test Code Sequence	Simulator	Transmit Frequency (MHz)	Date/Time (UTC)	Transmitted 30 Hex Transmitted Code (15 Hex Identifier, bits 26-85)	Number of Bit Errors				Comments
					PDF-1	BCH-1	PDF-2	BCH-2	
Bit Rate Tolerance Check (BR)									
BR1	[TBD]	406.025	[TBD]	96EEF9DA0A2BA9EB5F96F400000F19	0	0	0	0	Transmit 20 bursts at the higher end of the permissible Bit Rate Range (396 bps)
	[TBD]	406.025	[TBD]	96EEF9DA0A2BA9EB5F96F400000F19	0	0	0	0	Transmit 20 bursts at the lower end of the permissible Bit Rate Range (404 bps)
Bit Verification (BV)									
BV1	USA	406.025	[TBD]	56EE1100000000037E540000000000	2	0	n/a	n/a	USA, User Test coded beacon with two (2) bit errors introduced at bits 44 and 48 in PDF -1.
BV2	USA	406.027	[TBD]	D6E10E1A4324920458B9D555555555	0	0	0	0	USA, Orbitography beacon with a pattern of A01" in the long message. No bit errors
		406.027		D6E10E1A4324920458B9D555555555	0	0	0	0	Same as above
Message Validation (MV)									
MV1	USA	406.023	[TBD]	D6EE1100000000265F1424DB4CEFBF	2	0	0	2	USA, User Test coded beacon with encoded position (38.855, -76.931) in PDF-1. Two (2) bit errors at bits 44 and 48 in PDF-1. Two (2) bit errors at bits 133 and 134 in BCH-2
		406.023		D6EE0011100000265F1424DB4CE3BF	3	0	0	0	Three (3) bit errors at bits 52, 56 and 60 in PDF-1.
MV2	USA	406.025	[TBD]	96EE0000002729A5E22BB61B842E0A	0	0	0	0	USA, Standard Location Protocol Test coded beacon with encoded position (38.855, -76.931) in PDF-1 and PDF-2.
		406.025		96EE0000002729A5E22BB61B842E0A	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		96EE0000002729A5E22BB61B842E0A	0	0	0	0	Same as above

Test Code Sequence	Simulator	Transmit Frequency (MHz)	Date/Time (UTC)	Transmitted 30 Hex Transmitted Code (15 Hex Identifier, bits 26-85)	Number of Bit Errors				Comments
					PDF-1	BCH-1	PDF-2	BCH-2	
MV2 (Cont)	USA	406.025	[TBD]	96EE00000029299B91383601261D93	0	0	0	0	Position updated to 40.995 degrees.
		406.025		96EE00000029299B91383601261D9F	0	0	0	2	Two (2) bit errors at bits 141 and 142 in BCH-2.
MV3	USA	406.027	[TBD]	96EF000009B74CE5C3CFF61C080BF5	0	3	0	0	USA, National Location Protocol Test coded beacon with encoded position (38.855, -76.931) in PDF-1 and PDF-2. Three (3) bit errors at bits 88, 96 and 104 in BCH-1.
		406.027		96EF111109B74CE4C2CEF61C080BF5	4	0	0	0	USA, National Location Protocol Test coded beacon with encoded position (38.855, -76.931) in PDF-1 and PDF-2. Four (4) bit errors at bits 44, 48, 52 and 56 in PDF-1.
		406.027		96EF111019B74CE4C2CEF61C080BF5	4	0	0	0	USA, National Location Protocol Test coded beacon with encoded position (38.855, -76.931) in PDF-1 and PDF-2. Four (4) bit errors at bits 44, 48, 52 and 60 in PDF-1.
MV4	USA	406.025	[TBD]	D6EEFEAAAAAAAAA2EA1A24E14CD2B4	3	0	0	0	USA, User Test coded beacon with encoded position (38.855, -76.931) in PDF-2. Three (3) bit errors at bits 42, 44 and 46 in PDF-1.

Test Code Sequence	Simulator	Transmit Frequency (MHz)	Date/Time (UTC)	Transmitted 30 Hex Code	Number of Bit Errors				Comments
					PDF-1	BCH-1	PDF-2	BCH-2	
Location Protocol Processing (LP)									
LP1	France	406.025	[TBD]	8E3E0000007FDFFC77A376000003DD	0	0	0	0	France, Standard Location Protocol Test coded beacon with default encoded position in PDF-1 and 0s in PDF-2.
		406.025		8E3E0000007FDFFC77A376000003DD	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		8E3E0000007FDFFC 77A376000003DD	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		8E3E0000002B80372E8BB68E011E5C	0	0	0	0	Encoded position (43.559, 1.482) in PDF-1 and PDF-2
		406.025		8E3E0000002B80372E8BB68E011E5C	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		8E3E0000002B80372E8BB68E011E5C	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		8E3E0000002B80372E8BB68E011E5C	0	0	0	0	Same as above
LP2	France	406.025	[TBD]	8E3F00000AA20175813BB60F380F6B	0	0	0	0	France, National Location Protocol Test coded beacon with encoded position (42.559, 1.482) in PDF-1 and PDF-2
		406.025		8E3F00000AA20175813BB60F380F6B	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		8E3F00000AA20175813BB60F380F6B	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		8E3FF0004AE2017491D4360F380F6B	0	0	0	0	Encoded position updated (43.559, 1.482) in PDF-1
		406.025		8E3FF0004AE2017491D4360F380F6B	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		8E3FF0004AE2017491D4360F380F6B	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		8E3FF0004AE2017491D4360F380F6B	0	0	0	0	Same as above

Test Code Sequence	Simulator	Transmit Frequency (MHz)	Date/Time (UTC)	Transmitted 30 Hex Transmitted Code (15 Hex Identifier, bits 26-85)	Number of Bit Errors				Comments
					PDF-1	BCH-1	PDF-2	BCH-2	
LP3	USA	406.025	[TBD]	D6EE1F1E1E1E1E06A383EFE0FF0146	1	0	0	0	USA, User Test coded beacon with default encoded position in PDF-2. One (1) bit error at bit 48 in PDF-1
		406.025		D6EE1F1E1E1E1E06A383E4E14CD2BE	1	0	0	2	Encoded position updated (38.995, -76.851) in PDF-2. One (1) bit error in bit 48 in PDF-1 and two (2) bit errors at bits 141 and 143 in BCH-2.
		406.025		D6EE1F1E1E1E1E06A383E4E14CD2BE	1	0	0	2	One (1) bit error in bit 48 in PDF-1 and two (2) bit errors at bits 141 and 143 in BCH-2.
		406.025		D6EE1F1E1E1E1E06A383E4E14CD2B4	1	0	0	0	One (1) bit error in bit 48 in PDF-1.
LP4	USA	406.025	[TBD]	96EF000049C14CD260D5F608380389	0	0	0	0	USA, National Location Protocol Test Coded beacon with encoded position (38.995, -76.851).
		406.025		96EF000049C14CD260D5F608380389	0	0	0	0	Same as above.
		406.025		96EFF00049C14CD260D5F608380389	4	0	0	0	Four (4) bit errors at bits 41, 42, 43 and 44 in PDF-1.
		406.025		96EF000049814CD2E947F608380389	0	0	0	0	Encoded position (37.995, -76.851) updated.
		406.025		96EF000049814CD2E947F60838038F	0	0	0	2	Two (2) bit errors in bits 142 and 143.
		406.025		96EF000049814CD2E947F6083803E9	0	0	0	2	Two (2) bit errors in bits 138 and 139.

Test Code Sequence	Simulator	Transmit Frequency (MHz)	Date/Time (UTC)	Transmitted 30 Hex Transmitted Code (15 Hex Identifier, bits 26-85)	Number of Bit Errors				Comments
					PDF-1	BCH-1	PDF-2	BCH-2	
Invalid Beacon Message Processing (IV)									
IV1	France	406.025	[TBD]	8E3FF0004AE2017491D4360F380F6B	4	0	0	0	France, National Location Protocol Test coded beacon-with encoded position-(43.559, 1.482). Four (4) bit errors at bits 41, 42, 43 and 44.
		406.025		8E3FF0004AE2017493D4360F380F6B	4	1	0	0	France, National Location Protocol Test coded beacon-with encoded position-(43.559, 1.482). Four (4) bit errors at bits 41, 42, 43 and 44 and one (1) bit error at bit 95.
		406.025		8E3FF0004AE2017493D4360F380F6B	4	1	0	0	Same as above.
		406.025		8E3FF0004AE2017493D4360F380F6B	4	1	0	0	Same as above.
Doppler Processing (DP)									
DP1	France	406.025	[TBD]	4E3EAAAAAAAAAAAA057FD80000000000	0	0	0	0	France User Test coded beacon.
		406.025		4E3EAAAAAAAAAAAA057FD8000322000	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		4E3EAAAAAAAAAAAA057FD80000000000	0	0	0	0	Multiple errors in PDF-2
DP2	USA	406.025	[TBD]	56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	USA, User Test coded beacon.
		406.025		56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.029		56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above

Test Code Sequence	Simulator	Transmit Frequency (MHz)	Date/Time (UTC)	Transmitted 30 Hex Transmitted Code (15 Hex Identifier, bits 26-85)	Number of Bit Errors				Comments
					PDF-1	BCH-1	PDF-2	BCH-2	
DP2 (Cont)	USA	406.025	[TBD]	56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.029		56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above
		406.025		56EE0000000000477BEAC0000000000	0	0	0	0	Same as above

D.2 Expected Processing

Test Code Sequence	Message to be Transmitted by LEOLUT	Encoded Location Doppler-Position	Comments
BR1	96EEF9DA0A2BA9EB5F96F400000F19	n/a	LEOLUT sends a valid message to the MCC.
BV1	56EE0000000000037E540000000000	n/a	LEOLUT should correct two bit errors and transmit corrected message to MCC.
BV2	D6E10E1A4324920458B9D555555555	n/a	LEOLUT should transmit orbitography beacon message without error correcting the long message.
MV1	D6EE0000000000265F1424FFFFFFFF	n/a	LEOLUT corrects both beacon messages (message is confirmed) and transmits corrected message to MCC with bits 113 to 144 set to all A1 .
MV2	96EE0000002729A5E22BB61B842E0A	38.855, -76.951	LEOLUT sends the first complete, confirmed message to MCC and calculates Doppler position.
MV3	n/a	n/a	LEOLUT suppresses beacon alert because no valid message exists and no match available for invalid messages.
MV4	n/a	n/a	LEOLUT suppresses beacon alert because no message has 3 bit errors and is not confirmed.
LP1	8E320000002B8036568C768E010B658E3 E0000002B80372E8BB68E011E5C	43.559, 1.482	LEOLUT sends updated, confirmed message for Standard Location Protocol beacon to MCC.
LP2	8E38000000AE20177ECCB360F380F6B8E3 F00000AA20175813BB60F380F6B	43.559, 1.482	LEOLUT sends updated, confirmed message for National Location Protocol beacon to MCC.
LP3	D6EE1E1E1E1E1E06A383E4FFFFFFFF	38.855, -76.951 n/a	LEOLUT sends valid short message to MCC, however bits 113 to 144 are set to all A1 because PDF-2 is not confirmed.
LP4	96EF000049C14CD260D5F608380389	38.855, -76.951	LEOLUT send-s complete, confirmed message to MCC.
IV1	8E3FF0004AE2017491D436FFFFFFFF or 8E3FF0004AE2017493D436FFFFFFFF	43.559, 1.482 n/a	LEOLUT calculates Doppler location and sends invalid message to MCC with bits 113 to 144 all set to A1 .
DP1	4E3EAAAAAAAAAAAA057FD8000000000	43.559, 1.482 n/a	LEOLUT should generate Doppler location, set bits 113 to 144 to A0 and transmit to MCC.
DP2	56EE0000000000477BEAC000000000	38.995, -76.851 n/a	LEOLUT should eliminate suspect data points and calculate Doppler location.

- END OF ANNEX D -

ANNEX E**DATA FORMAT****E.1 General**

This annex describes the data format to be provided in ASCII format on a disk to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat when commissioning a LEOLUT. The data is to be provided in a comma (,) delimited format, and each field shall include an entry. If there is no data for any given field, then a blank space is to be inserted into the appropriate portion of the file. All solution data used in the commissioning testing shall be provided.

A description of the fields is provided below:

Field	Relative position of the data
Description	Description of the information provided
Detailed Format	Guidance on how the data should be provided
Type	C - Character, N - Numeric and L - Logical
Width	The total number of bytes for the field
Dec	The total number of bytes after the decimal
MF#	Message Field as described in C/S A.002 (SID)
Comments	

E.2 Tracking Database

Field	Description	Detailed Format	Type	Width	Dec	MF#	Comments
1	LUT ID	AAAA	C	4		11	
2	Satellite ID	nnn	N	3		6	
3	Orbit Number	nnnnn	N	5		7	
4	LUT AOS Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
5	LUT LOS Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
6	Pass Scheduled	Y or N	L	1			
7	Pass Tracked	Y or N	L	1			
8	Solution from Pass	Y or N	L	1			
9	Manually Scheduled	Y or N	L	1			

E.3 LUT Database for Solution Data

Field	Description	Detailed Format	Type	Width	Dec	MF#	Comments
1	LUT ID		C	4		11	
2	Location Name		C	11			
3	Beacon ID		C	15			
4	Beacon Message		C	30			
5	Satellite ID	nnn	N	3		6	
6	A Calculated TCA	YYDDDhhmm	N	9		14	Note 1
7	B Calculated TCA	YYDDDhhmm	N	9		14	
8	LUT AOS Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
9	LUT LOS Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
10	Window Factor	n	N	1		15	
11	LUT TPC	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
12	Message Number	nnnnn	N	5			
13	Transmit Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9		3	
14	Time of First Data Point Received	snnnn	N	5			Note 2
15	Time of Last Data Point Received	snnnn	N	5			Note 2
16	"A" Latitude	snn.nnn	N	7	3	25	
17	"A" Longitude	snnn.nnn	N	8	3	26	
18	"A" CTA	snn.n	N	5	1	17	
19	"A" Heading	nnn	N	3		27	
20	"A" Semi-Major	nnn.n	N	5	1	27	
21	"A" Semi-Minor	nnn.n	N	5	1	27	
22	"A" Bias (Hz)	snnnnn.n	N	8	1	13	
23	"B" Latitude	snn.nnn	N	7	3	25	
24	"B" Longitude	snnn.nnn	N	8	3	26	
25	"B" CTA	snn.n	N	5	1	17	
26	"B" Heading	nnn	N	3		27	
27	"B" Semi-Major	nnn.n	N	5	1	27	
28	"B" Semi-Minor	nnn.n	N	5	1	27	
29	"A" Probability	nn	N	2		28	
30	Number of Points	nnnn	N	4		21	
31	Confidence Factor	n	N	1		30	Note 3
32	Processing Sources		C	3			Note 4
33	GEOLUT Source		C	4		11	
34	GEOSAR Satellite		C	3			Note 5
35	Comments		C	8			

Notes

- For 406 MHz unlocated alerts, use time of last data point.
- Time in seconds relative to calculated TCA of solution A.
- Confidence factor of "A" solution. Use 9 if not calculated at LEOLUT.
- For 406 MHz alerts, indicate each channel source which provided data in the alert as "S" for SARP, "G" for SARR, and/or "C" for Combined with GEOSAR data (e.g. a SARP only solution would be indicated with an "S", a SARP/SARR solution would be indicated by "SG", and a SARP/SARR/GEOSAR solution would be indicated by "SGC").
- Indicate the first letter for the series of the GEOSAR satellite (e.g. G for GOES) followed by its designator (e.g. G08 would represent GOES-8 and I2A would represent INSAT-2A).

E.4 Beacon Database Description

Field	Description	Detailed Format	Type	Width	Dec	MF#	Comments
1	Beacon Number	nn	N	2			
2	Location		C	11			
3	Beacon ID		C	15			
4	Latitude of Beacon	snn.nnn	N	7	3	25	
5	Longitude of Beacon	snnn.nnn	N	8	3	26	
6	Type		C	4			
7	Country		C	3			
8	Activation Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
9	Deactivation Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
10	Actual "On" Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
11	Actual "Off" Time	YYDDDhhmm	N	9			
12	Comments		C	8			

- END OF ANNEX E -

This document has been superseded by a later version

ANNEX F

LEOLUT COMMISSIONING - PRINCIPLES AND POLICIES

F.1 References

The Cospas-Sarsat Council approved the general principles of its commissioning policy for LEOLUTs, provided hereunder in sections F.2, F.3 and F.4.

F.2 General

The following principles govern the commissioning of Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment equipment:

- F.2.1 A State which has notified its association with the Cospas-Sarsat Programme as a Ground Segment Provider assumes the responsibility "to adhere to the technical specifications and operating procedures set by the Council for the purpose of ensuring adequate System performance" and "to provide, as agreed with the Council, appropriate performance data in order to confirm compatibility of its Ground Segment equipment with the System" (section 3.1 of the Letter of Notification).
- F.2.2 Cospas-Sarsat performance standards and design guidelines for LEOLUTs and MCCs are defined in documents C/S T.002 and C/S A.005, respectively.
- F.2.3 Cospas-Sarsat criteria and test methods for verifying that LEOLUTs and MCCs meet these standards are defined in documents C/S T.005 and C/S A.006, respectively.
- F.2.4 The responsible Agency or Administration installing and planning to operate a new LEOLUT or MCC shall plan and conduct appropriate tests, in accordance with the applicable Cospas-Sarsat standards, which may form part of its own acceptance testing.
- F.2.5 A commissioning report, including the results of the commissioning tests defined by Cospas-Sarsat, shall be submitted to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat, for review by the Joint Committee. The commissioning report must be submitted four weeks prior to the Joint Committee meeting. Reports submitted less than four weeks in advance will be considered at the subsequent Joint Committee meeting.
- F.2.6 After review of the commissioning report, the Joint Committee makes appropriate recommendations to the Cospas-Sarsat Council. Formal commissioning is recorded at the subsequent Council meeting, after approval of the Joint Committee recommendation by the Council.

- F.2.7 This commissioning and reporting procedure shall be implemented by all Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment operators, including Parties to the International Cospas-Sarsat Programme Agreement, for commissioning new LEOLUTs and MCCs or new equipment or functions which have a significant impact on the Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment operation.
- F.2.8 The cost of implementing the commissioning procedure and reporting to the Cospas-Sarsat Joint Committee is borne by the operating Agency or Administration installing the equipment to be commissioned.
- F.2.9 Ground Segment equipment will be commissioned into the Cospas-Sarsat System only if the formal association of the LEOLUT and MCC operator with the Cospas-Sarsat Programme has been notified in accordance with the standard procedure, unless otherwise agreed by the Council.

F.3 LUT COMMISSIONING

The following principles govern the implementation of the Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUT Commissioning Standard (C/S T.005):

- F.3.1 The implementation of the commissioning procedure defined in document C/S T.005 is the responsibility of the operating Agency or Administration.
- F.3.2 The operating Agency or Administration will be responsible for equipment which may be required for performing the commissioning tests.
- F.3.3 A LEOLUT may be commissioned as a stand-alone system, independent of an MCC. However, LEOLUT commissioning may take place at the same time that the associated MCC is being commissioned.
- F.3.4 The LEOLUT/MCC interface is part of the LEOLUT commissioning. Therefore, it shall be tested as part of the LEOLUT commissioning procedure.
- F.3.5 If the test results in the commissioning report submitted by the operating Agency or Administration do not demonstrate full compliance with the LEOLUT Performance Specification (C/S T.002), corrective action shall be taken.
- F.3.6 If the test results in the commissioning report submitted by the operating Agency or Administration do demonstrate full compliance with the LEOLUT Performance Specification (C/S T.002), the alert data derived from the new LEOLUT can be immediately used by the associated MCC for distribution in accordance with the Cospas-Sarsat Data Distribution Plan (C/S A.001).
- F.3.7 Once the alert data derived from the new LEOLUT begins to be used by the associated MCC, a change of System status shall be notified to all MCCs by the associated MCC, in accordance with the procedure of document C/S A.001.

- F.3.8 The Joint Committee shall, at its following meeting, review the commissioning report and recommend to the Cospas-Sarsat Council, as appropriate, formal commissioning of the LEOLUT.

F.4 STATUS OF THE COSPAS-SARSAT GROUND SEGMENT

- F.4.1 After their commissioning, LEOLUTs are listed and described as appropriate in the applicable System documents and the “Cospas-Sarsat System Data” document.
- F.4.2 The Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs commissioned in the Cospas-Sarsat System shall be listed on the Cospas-Sarsat website www.cospas-sarsat.org.

- END OF ANNEX F -

This document has been superseded by a later version

ANNEX G

GUIDELINES FOR INTEGRATION OF NEW LEOLUTs IN THE COSPAS-SARSAT SYSTEM

The introduction of new LEOLUTs in the Cospas-Sarsat System is supervised by the Technical Working Group (TWG) of the Cospas-Sarsat Joint Committee whose objectives include:

- a) the improvement of the overall performance of the Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment; and
- b) the technical control of the development of the Cospas-Sarsat LUTs.

The guidelines hereunder, and Figure G.1, provide procedures for integrating a new LEOLUT into the Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment.

1. Installation of New Equipment - The new LEOLUT(s) equipment should be sited to allow the widest possible horizon and to maximize coverage of national SRRs as well as the entire Cospas-Sarsat System. The location of the LEOLUT(s) should also allow for reliable communications with the associated MCC.
2. Ground Segment Description and LEOLUT Coverage - The national Administration should ensure that a description of the new LEOLUT(s) along with (1) coordinates, (2) address, (3) frequencies and (4) LEOLUT antenna masks are provided to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat.

The national Administration should also ensure that their LEOLUT(s) are properly registered with the International Telecommunications Union (ITU). The forms provided in Annex I to this document should be completed and forwarded to ITU through the appropriate national authorities.

3. Commissioning Test - For new Ground Segment Providers, the LEOLUT Commissioning tests may be scheduled to coincide with the MCC commissioning tests. In any case, the LEOLUT should be connected to the MCC and tested in its operational configuration processing all channels identified in section 3.3 of this document. However, statistics for the different channels may be collected separately.

The LEOLUT Operator should ensure that test beacon(s) capable of transmitting the test code sequences contained in Annex D is (are) available for the commissioning test, or the LEOLUT Operator should coordinate with beacon simulator providers in the USA or France for the transmission of such code sequences. If test beacons/simulators cannot be used during the commissioning test, the LEOLUT Operator should ensure that an alternative method of confirming compliance is identified.

The relevant sections of this document describe the operational, functional and processing, and performance requirements to be tested. During the test, the data from the LEOLUT(s) should be transmitted to the associated MCC, however, the data should be suppressed by the MCC and not transmitted within the Cospas-Sarsat System.

4. Preparation of Commissioning Report - The results of the tests, along with the proper declarations and verifications for items not specifically tested, should be documented in a commissioning report. Annex A to this document contains the format of the commissioning report.


The commissioning report should include as a minimum the information requested in this document and in the format contained in Annex A. In addition, information to explain or clarify results should also be included in the commissioning report.

Any anomaly, or failure to meet a requirement, observed during the commissioning test should be corrected and the requirement re-tested. After the LEOLUT satisfies the requirements of document C/S T.002 "Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUT Performance Specification and Design Guidelines," the completed commissioning report should be submitted by the national Administration to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat.

5. Initial Operational Capability (IOC) - If the commissioning test has been completed successfully, and the commissioning report has been forwarded to the Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat, the LEOLUT may begin operations in an IOC status. However, the LEOLUT cannot reach IOC status prior to the MCC IOC date if the associated MCC is also in the commissioning process. The national Administration, through its associated MCC, should notify all Ground Segment Operators of a LEOLUT's IOC status via a System Status message.

The IOC phase allows a thorough review of the LEOLUT performance. However a LEOLUT shall not remain in an IOC phase for more than one year. LEOLUTs that have not reached FOC within one year will be considered not operational, and documented as "Under Development". To regain IOC status the LEOLUT will require a retest of the elements which prevented it from reaching FOC. The LEOLUT then must operate again in an IOC phase prior to reaching FOC. All Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment Operators should monitor the data from new LEOLUTs for any significant anomalies that could impact Cospas-Sarsat operations.

6. Full Operational Capability (FOC) - If after 90 days of operation in an IOC state no anomalies are detected in the performance of the LEOLUT, the LEOLUT should be declared at FOC by the appropriate nodal MCC. The transition of a LEOLUT from an IOC status to a FOC status ensures that the LEOLUT performs to Cospas-Sarsat standards and does not negatively impact System operations.
7. Formal Commissioning - The Joint Committee reviews the commissioning report and, pending additional details or explanations, submits the report to the Cospas-Sarsat Council. The Council accepts the commissioning report and the LEOLUT is formally commissioned in the Cospas-Sarsat Ground Segment.

Figure G.1: Overview of LEOLUT Integration

ANNEX H

GUIDELINES FOR REGISTRATION OF NEW LEOLUTs WITH ITU

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILLING THE FORM OF NOTICE ApS4/III FOR REGISTRATION OF COSPAS-SARSAT LEOLUTs WITH ITU

NOTES:

- The form ApS4/III provided at appendix 1 to this Annex is to be completed by each national administration or agency operating Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs, for each LUT and each satellite network (i.e. KOSPAS and SAR)³.
- Plain characters in the description below reproduce the text of ITU BR Circular Letter CR/65. *Comments by the Secretariat are in Italics.*
- Different information is required for registering GEOLUTs. In particular, GEOLUTs cannot be associated with the KOSPAS and SAR networks as notified to ITU.

HEADER⁴:

DATE	Date of submission by notifying administration for its own use.
Administration serial number	Serial/reference number given by the notifying administration for its own use.
A1f. NOTIFYING ADMINISTRATION	The country symbol designating the notifying administration and the symbol designating the international satellite system, if appropriate. <i>Note that the three letter symbol designating the country is different from the Cospas-Sarsat three letter abbreviation given on the Cospas-Sarsat website www.cospas-sarsat.org. The ITU three letter country symbol is given in Table B1 of the Preface to the International Frequency List (IFL). Cospas-Sarsat has not been declared as an international system and no abbreviation has been assigned, so the second part of this field should not be completed.</i>
RR1488	<i>Indicate with a X that this is a Notification.</i>
RR1107 and RR1610	<i>To be left blank.</i>
RS46	<i>Not applicable to Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs.</i>
NOTIFICATION INTENDED FOR ADDITION	<i>To be indicated with the letter A for a “new” earth station (not previously notified). Letters M or S are to be used for modification or suppression of earth stations previously notified.</i>
BR IDENTIFICATION NO. OF STATION TO BE MODIFIED / SUPPRESSED	<i>To be used only in case of modification or suppression of earth stations previously notified.</i>

SECTION 1: CHARACTERISTICS OF EARTH STATION

³ Note that since Mars 2008, the ITU has made available a PC based data capture software application named Spacecap for the electronic capture of data elements to be furnished in notices relating to space systems and Earth stations. The latest version of the software, dated 28 July 2009, is freely available at the website www.itu.int/ITU-R/software/space/spacecap/index.html. The electronic version of the notice form APS4/III is no longer available but all requirements for submission of an Earth station are spelt out in Annex 2 to Appendix 4 of the Radio Regulations (www.itu.int/publ/R-REG-RR/en).

⁴ Note that these fields refer to the form ApS4/III, minor adjustments might be required when using the new ITU electronic data capture system (Spacecap).

A1e1. TYPE	<i>Indicate Specific with letter S.</i>
A1e2. NAME OF EARTH STATION	<i>Enter name of LEOLUT.</i>
A1e3a. COUNTRY	Indicate the country in which the station is located using the appropriate symbol given in Table No. B1 of the Preface to the IFL or the SRS. <i>In most cases this is the same symbol as in field A1f).</i>
A1e3b. GEOGRAPHICAL COORDINATES	<i>Specific to the LEOLUT.</i>
A4c1. ASSOCIATED SPACE STATION	KOSPAS or SAR .
A4c2. NOMINAL ORBITAL LONGITUDE	<i>Not applicable, leave blank.</i>
A7a. HORIZON ELEVATION DIAGRAM	Enter the number of the attachment containing the diagram indicating the horizon elevation angle for each azimuth around the station (instructions for presentation of graphical data are given in IFRB circular letter No. 769 of 23 December 1988); or alternatively , fill in the table provided for the values of horizon elevation angle (A7a TABLE OF VALUES FOR THE HORIZON ELEVATION). In this case it is not necessary to fill in values for each 5 or 10 degrees of azimuth; only changes in the horizon elevation angle need be indicated. Thus, for example, if the horizon elevation angle has a constant value of 1.5 degrees between azimuths of 50 and 180 degrees only two entries are required in the table; one for an azimuth of 50 degrees and one for an azimuth of 180 degrees. In addition, if for two consecutive values of azimuth in the table, the horizon elevation angles are different, intermediate values will be calculated by linear interpolation.
A7b. ELEVATION ANGLE	Enter the planned minimum operating angle of elevation of the antenna on the direction of maximum radiation towards the associated space station, expressed in decimal degrees from the horizontal plane. <i>In the case of Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs this elevation angle should be 0.0 degrees or the minimum value (over 0) specified in Table A7a.</i>
A7c. OPERATING AZIMUTHAL ANGLES	Enter the planned range of operating azimuthal angles for the direction of maximum radiation, each value expressed in decimal degrees clockwise from True North. <i>In the case of Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs these values should be 0.0 to 360.0 degrees.</i>
A7d. ALTITUDE	Enter the height of the centre of the antenna above mean sea level, expressed in metres. <i>This information is specific to each Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUT.</i>
A10. COORDINATING AREA DIAGRAMS	<i>Not necessary for Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs.</i>

SECTION 2: TRANSMITTING EARTH STATION CHARACTERISTICS

Not applicable to Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs, pages ApS4/III - 2a and 2b are not to be completed nor forwarded to the ITU.

SECTION 3: RECEIVING EARTH STATION CHARACTERISTICS

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ANTENNA

B1. ASSOCIATED
SATELLITE TRANSMITTING
BEAM DESIGNATION

Enter the receiving beam designation by a symbol consisting of up to four characters.

*For Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs, enter **T1**.*

ADD/MOD/SUP/REP of the
antenna

Leave blank.

OLD BEAM
DESIGNATION

Leave blank.

B5. EARTH STATION
ANTENNA
CHARACTERISTICSa. MAXIMUM ISOTROPIC
GAIN

Enter the appropriate sign (+ or -) followed by the isotropic gain (Gi see RR154) of the antenna in the direction of maximum radiation, expressed in dBi.

Enter value specific to the LEOLUT antenna, as specified by the manufacturer. For example, Bundaberg LUT was notified as +25.9 dBi, other Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs could have figures of about +30.0 dBi.

b. BEAMWIDTH

Enter the total beamwidth at the mean half-power points of the main lobe, expressed in decimal degrees.

Enter value specific to the LEOLUT antenna, as specified by the manufacturer. For example, Bundaberg LUT was notified with 8.00 degrees beamwidth, other Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs could have beamwidth of about 5.00 degrees.

C1. ANTENNA RADIATION
PATTERN DIAGRAM. SEE
ATTACHMENT No.

If available, enter the attachment number where the measured radiation diagram of the LUT antenna is provided. This is NOT required for Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs and, alternatively, the radiation pattern symbol can be entered in c2.

C2. RADIATION PATTERN

Indicate the reference radiation pattern (from table in BR Circular CR/65 and the Preface to IFL or SRS). *Note that if the radiation pattern had been provided as an attachment, then this should be left blank.*

*The symbol **AP29** can be used for Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs. It refers to Annex 3 to Appendix 29 of the RR (Radiation Patterns for Earth Station Antennae to Be Used When They Are Not Published).*

FOR NON STANDARD
ANTENNA PROVIDE ...

Leave blank.

	INFORMATION COMMON TO THE FOLLOWING GROUPS (LISTS) OF ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES OF THIS ANTENNA												
A2a. DATE OF BRINGING INTO USE	<i>Enter date of commissioning or expected date of operation (dd/mm/yy).</i>												
A3a. OPERATING AGENCY OR COMPANY	Using symbols from Table No. 12A/12B of the Preface to the IFL or SRS, indicate the operating agency or company and the postal and telegraphic addresses of the administration to which communications should be sent on urgent matters regarding interference, quality of emissions, and questions referring to the technical operation of stations (see Article 22 of the RR). If there is no symbol in Table No. 12A/12B of the Preface corresponding to the administration or agency concerned, spell out the name in box REMARKS with reference to box A3a or A3b as applicable, and the Bureau will provide the symbol. <i>The Preface to the SRS is available in CD form at the Secretariat, information on existing symbols, as recorded for each country in the Preface, can be provided on request. For example, the symbols given in the Preface to the Space Radiocommunications Stations are:</i> - 005 for INTA, Spain - 018 for CNES, France - 003 for National Defence, Algeria - 027 for Ministry of Transport, London, UK												
A3b. ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBLE FOR THE STATION	<i>Enter A if the operating agency is an administration, refer to Tables 12A/12B of the Preface otherwise.</i>												
SPECIAL SECTION AR11/A (RR1042)	Enter the number of the Special Section of the Weekly Circular in which the advance information was published under Section I of Article 11. <i>This box should be left blank and references to advance publications of the satellite networks provided in OTHER SPECIAL SECTIONS (see below).</i>												
SPECIAL SECTION AR11/C (RR1060)	<i>Leave blank.</i>												
SPECIAL SECTION ART.14 (RR1610)	<i>Leave blank.</i>												
OTHER SPECIAL SECTIONS	- <i>Forms in respect of the KOSPAS network, enter:</i> <table> <tr> <td><i>in column reference,</i></td><td><i>in column number</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>(1) SPA-AA</td><td>259</td></tr> <tr> <td>(2) SPA-AA</td><td>295</td></tr> </table> - <i>Forms in respect of the SAR network, enter:</i> <table> <tr> <td><i>in column reference,</i></td><td><i>in column number</i></td></tr> <tr> <td>(1) SPA-AA</td><td>223</td></tr> <tr> <td>(2) SPA-AA</td><td>281</td></tr> </table>	<i>in column reference,</i>	<i>in column number</i>	(1) SPA-AA	259	(2) SPA-AA	295	<i>in column reference,</i>	<i>in column number</i>	(1) SPA-AA	223	(2) SPA-AA	281
<i>in column reference,</i>	<i>in column number</i>												
(1) SPA-AA	259												
(2) SPA-AA	295												
<i>in column reference,</i>	<i>in column number</i>												
(1) SPA-AA	223												
(2) SPA-AA	281												
A6/A7. COORDINATED WITH OR AGREEMENT REACHED WITH	<i>Leave blank.</i>												

A6/A7. COORDINATION
REQUESTED WITH OR
AGREEMENT SOUGHT WITH
REMARKS

Leave blank.
Leave blank.

End of page ApS4/III - 3a

SATELLITE TRANSMITTING
BEAM DESIGNATION

*Enter the symbol **T1***

BR IDENTIFICATION
NUMBER OF GROUP (LIST)
OF FREQUENCIES TO BE
MODIFIED / SUPPRESSED /
REPLACED

To be used only in case of modification or suppression of group of assigned frequencies previously notified. Leave blank for notification of LEOLUTs not previously registered.

ADD / MOD / SUP / REP

*Enter the symbol **A***

CHARACTERISTICS COMMON TO THE FOLLOWING GROUP
(LIST) OF ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES

C4a. CLASS OF STATION

Indicate the appropriate class of station and the nature of service, using the symbols given in Tables Nos. 6A1 and 6B1 respectively of the Preface to the IFL and the SRS. Up to four pairs of values can be provided

For Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs, enter the symbols:
- **TB** which designates aeronautical earth stations,
- **TI** which designates coast earth stations,

C4b. NATURE OF SERVICE

For Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs, enter the symbols:
- **FS** i.e. a land station established solely for the safety of life, and
- **OT** i.e. a station open exclusively to the operational traffic of the service concerned.

C6. POLARIZATION

Enter the symbol for the type of polarization in the first box (see Table No. 9D1 of the Preface to the IFL and the SRS).

*For Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs, enter the symbol **CL** which indicates a left-hand circular polarization. Leave the second box (if linear, ...) blank.*

C3a. ASSIGNED
FREQUENCY BAND

Enter the bandwidth of the assigned frequency band as defined in RR141, expressed in KHz. The assigned frequency band should in no case exceed the bandwidth of a single satellite transponder.

*Enter the figure **800** (kHz) for Sarsat satellites and **1000** (kHz) for Cospas satellites, or the value specific to the LEOLUT if different.*

C5b. RECEIVING SYSTEM
NOISE TEMPERATURE

Enter the value of the lowest total receiving noise temperature expressed in kelvins, referred to the output of the earth station antenna under clear sky conditions.

This data is specific to the LUT and should be obtained from the LUT manufacturer.

EMISSIONS RECEIVED BY THE ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES
LISTED BELOWC7a. DESIGNATION OF
EMISSION

Indicate the necessary bandwidth (RR146) and class of emission (RR133) in accordance with Article 4 and Appendix 6.

*For the KOSPAS network the registered data for the T1 frequency beam shows 1M00FXX. For the SAR network the registered data for the T1 frequency beam shows 800KFXX. However, the best description of the class of emission received by the LUT is G9D. Therefore, for Cospas-Sarsat LEOLUTs, the designation of emission to be entered is **1M00G9D** for Kospas network and **800KG9D** for SAR network.*

C8e. C/N Objective (total -
clear sky)

Enter the required carrier to noise ratio, in decibels, for the overall link for each carrier when clear sky propagation conditions apply.

This data is specific to the LUT and should be obtained from the LUT manufacturer.

GROUP (LIST) OF ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES HAVING THE
ABOVE COMMON CHARACTERISTICSC2a. ASSIGNED
FREQUENCY

*The value **1544.5** should be entered as shown at Annex 3 with the letter **M** (for MHz) in the last box.*

*End of page ApS4/III - 3b
End of form*

DATE (Day/Month/Year)		d d / m m / y y		FORM OF NOTICE EARTH STATION (APPENDIX S4 - ANNEX 2A)		PAGE 1 OF		3		ApS4/III	
Administration Serial Number											
A1f. NOTIFYING ADMINISTRATION A B C /		RR1488 Notification X		RR1107 Request for Coordination		RR1610 Agreement under Art. 14		Request for Assistance of the BR for RR1107 and RR1610 /or		NOTIFICATION INTENDED FOR ADDITION MODIFICATION SUPPRESSION A	
FIRST NOTIFICATION X				RS 46 Request for Coordination		BR IDENTIFICATION NO. OF STATION TO BE MODIFIED / SUPPRESSED					
RESUBMISSION											

1. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EARTH STATION

A1e1. TYPE (Specific/Typical)	S	A1e2. NAME OF THE EARTH STATION	N	a	m	e		p	r	i	n	t	L	U	T																
A1e3a. COUNTRY ¹	A	B	C	A1e3b. GEOGRAPHICAL COORDINATES ¹	Longitude								Latitude																		
					Degrees	E/W	Min.	Sec.	Deg.	N/S	Min.	Sec.																			
	d	d	d	X	m	s	s	d	d	X	m	s	s																		
A4c1. ASSOCIATED SPACE STATION	K	O	S	P	A	S																									
A4c2. NOMINAL ORBITAL LONGITUDE (if geostationary)	Degrees						E/W																								
A7a. HORIZON ELEVATION DIAGRAM ¹ SEE ATTACHMENT NO.			A7b. ELEVATION ANGLE ¹	Degrees				A7c. OPERATING AZIMUTHAL ANGLES ¹	FROM (Degrees)				TO (Degrees)																		
				0	0		0		0	0		0	3	6	0		0														
A7d. ALTITUDE ¹	Metres																														
				m	m	m																									
A10. COORDINATION AREA DIAGRAMS SEE ATTACHMENT NO.																															

Or the code for the location of LEOLUT as identified in the preface to the IFL if different from A1f

[illegible]

GENERAL NOTES :

- i. This form of notice consists of three parts - 1, 2, and 3 - as indicated below :
- 1 - Characteristics of the earth station
 - 2 - Transmitting earth station characteristics and
 - 3 - Receiving earth station characteristics.

In each part, each information item/data field includes a number in its label. This number is the same as that used for the same item in Appendix S4 (WRC-95) within the same part. For example, on the page labelled "Form ApS4/III - 2a" (at the bottom), the field "A2a. Date of bringing into use" is the item numbered 2a in Part A of Annex 2A to Appendix S4.

- ii. Data items that are related are grouped together in a box. For example, the page labelled "Form ApS4/III - 2b" (at the bottom) contains a box titled "Emissions common to the assigned frequencies listed below". It is possible to specify 12 different emissions (with associated power and power density values) in this box. If there are more emissions, use another page of the same type to provide additional data, after checking (☒) the field labelled "More emissions on next page" on the preceding page. In all cases where there is more information than can fit in a box, follow this procedure.

- iii. This form can be used to add, modify or suppress an existing station, by entering **A**, **M** or **S** in the box at the top right-hand corner of this page in the area titled "Notification intended for". In the case of a modification of an existing station, where certain data fields are to be added, modified or suppressed, provide ALL the data in the particular box as they would look after the change. In addition, indicate that the corresponding antenna or group of frequencies is being modified by entering **M** or **R** in the field that has been provided for this purpose at these levels.

- iv. Certain fields in this notice form have a superscript "1" as part of their labels. This has the following meaning :
1 - This information is not required for the notification of a typical earth station.

Do not send this page to the ITU

2. TRANSMITTING EARTH STATION CHARACTERISTICS

PAGE OF

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ANTENNA				ADD / MOD / SUP / REP of the antenna ☐
B1. ASSOCIATED SATELLITE RECEIVING BEAM DESIGNATION	NOTE: For a steerable beam, the last character of the beam designation shall be "R"		OLD BEAM DESIGNATION (if changed)	
B5. EARTH STATION ANTENNA CHARACTERISTICS				
a. MAXIMUM ISOTROPIC GAIN +/- dBi	b. BEAMWIDTH Degrees	c1. ANTENNA RADIATION PATTERN DIAGRAM SEE ATTACHMENT NO.		
c2. RADIATION PATTERN (give reference pattern or provide diagram)		FOR NON-STANDARD ANTENNA PROVIDE:		
	Coefficient A dBi	Coefficient B dBi	Coefficient C dBi	Coefficient D dBi
	PHI1 Degrees			

INFORMATION COMMON TO THE FOLLOWING GROUPS (LISTS) OF ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES OF THIS ANTENNA									
A2a. DATE OF BRINGING INTO USE <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; text-align: center;"> <tr><td>Day</td><td>Month</td><td>Year</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td></tr> </table>				Day	Month	Year			
Day	Month	Year							
A3a. OPERATING AGENCY OR COMPANY (Refer to Table 12A/12B of the Preface to the IFL & SRS)		A3b. ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBLE FOR THE STATION (Refer to Table 12A/12B of the Preface to the IFL & SRS)							
SPECIAL SECTION AR11/A (RR1042) Number A R 1 1 / A /		OTHER SPECIAL SECTIONS Reference Number (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)							
SPECIAL SECTION AR11/C (RR1060) Number A R 1 1 / C /									
SPECIAL SECTION ART.14 (RR1610) Number A R 1 4 / C /									
A6/A7. COORDINATED WITH OR AGREEMENT REACHED WITH RR Provision Symbols of the Administrations concerned									
A6/A7. COORDINATION REQUESTED WITH OR AGREEMENT SOUGHT WITH RR Provision Symbols of the Administrations concerned									
REMARKS									

NOTES ON FILLING IN THE NEXT PAGES:

FOR EACH ANTENNA YOU MAY PROVIDE ONE OR MORE GROUPS (LISTS) OF ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES, EACH GROUP (LIST) HAVING ONE SET OF COMMON CHARACTERISTICS. THE BOTTOM HALF OF THIS PAGE CONTAINS COMMON DATA THAT IS APPLICABLE TO ONE OR MORE GROUPS (LISTS) OF FREQUENCIES OF THIS ANTENNA. FOR EACH GROUP (LIST) OF FREQUENCIES OF THIS ANTENNA, FIRST FILL IN THE SET OF COMMON CHARACTERISTICS, FOLLOWED BY THE GROUP (LIST) OF FREQUENCIES TO WHICH THE SET APPLIES. USE AS MANY PAGES AS NECESSARY.

Do not send this page to the ITU

SATELLITE RECEIVING BEAM DESIGNATION							PAGE		OF		
--------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	------	--	----	--	--

BR IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF GROUP (LIST) OF FREQUENCIES TO BE MODIFIED / SUPPRESSED / REPLACED	
--	--

	ADD / MOD / SUP / REP	of the group								
--	-----------------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

CHARACTERISTICS COMMON TO THE FOLLOWING GROUP (LIST) OF ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES

C4a. CLASS OF STATION				
C4b. NATURE OF SERVICE				

C6. POLARIZATION	
TYPE	if linear, provide angle in degrees

C3a. ASSIGNED FREQUENCY BAND	(kHz)

C6g. MAXIMUM AGGREGATE POWER	+/- dBW

EMISSIONS COMMON TO THE ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES LISTED BELOW

C7a. DESIGNATION OF EMISSION	C8a1/C8b1. * MAXIMUM PEAK POWER +/- dBW	C8a2/C8b2. * MAXIMUM POWER DENSITY +/- dBW/Hz	C8c1. MINIMUM PEAK POWER +/- dBW	C8c2. MINIMUM POWER DENSITY +/- dBW/Hz

* If maximum peak power and maximum power density values are of type C8b, check this box ☐
 MORE EMISSIONS ON NEXT PAGE ☐
 Reason for minimum peak power and minimum power density (C8c) values being absent; see attachment No. ☐

GROUP (LIST) OF ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES HAVING THE ABOVE COMMON CHARACTERISTICS

C2a. ASSIGNED FREQUENCY	k/M/G Hz

C2a. ASSIGNED FREQUENCY	k/M/G Hz

MORE ON NEXT PAGE ☐

3. RECEIVING EARTH STATION CHARACTERISTICS

PAGE OF

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ANTENNA				ADD / MOD / SUP / REP of the antenna ☐
B1. ASSOCIATED SATELLITE TRANSMITTING BEAM DESIGNATION		T 1		NOTE: For a steerable beam, the last character of the beam designation shall be "R"
		OLD BEAM DESIGNATION (if changed)		
B5. EARTH STATION ANTENNA CHARACTERISTICS				
a. MAXIMUM ISOTROPIC GAIN	+/- dBi + X • X	b. BEAMWIDTH	Degrees X • X	c1. ANTENNA RADIATION PATTERN DIAGRAM. SEE ATTACHMENT NO.
c2. RADIATION PATTERN (give reference pattern or provide diagram)		FOR NON-STANDARD ANTENNA PROVIDE:		
A P 2 9		Coefficient A dBi	Coefficient B dBi	Coefficient C dBi
		Coefficient D dBi	PHI1 Degrees	
A2a. DATE OF BRINGING INTO USE Day Month Year d d m m y y A3a. OPERATING AGENCY OR COMPANY (Refer to Table 12A/12B of the Preface to the IFL & SRS) A3b. ADMINISTRATION RESPONSIBLE FOR THE STATION (Refer to Table 12A/12B of the Preface to the IFL & SRS) A SPECIAL SECTION AR11/A (RR1042) Number A R 1 1 / A / SPECIAL SECTION AR11/C (RR1060) Number A R 1 1 / C / SPECIAL SECTION ART.14 (RR1610) Number A R 1 4 / C / OTHER SPECIAL SECTIONS Reference Number (1) S P A - A A 2 5 9 (2) S P A - A A 2 9 5 (3) (4) (5) A6/A7. COORDINATED WITH OR AGREEMENT REACHED WITH RR Provision Symbols of the Administrations concerned R R R R R R R R R R A6/A7. COORDINATION REQUESTED WITH OR AGREEMENT SOUGHT WITH RR Provision Symbols of the Administrations concerned R R R R R R R R R R REMARKS				

Not to be
completed if
antenna radiation
pattern diagram
has been included
with submission

Or 223 and
281 for SAR

NOTES ON FILLING IN THE NEXT PAGES:

FOR EACH ANTENNA YOU MAY PROVIDE ONE OR MORE GROUPS (LISTS) OF ASSIGNED FREQUENCIES, EACH GROUP (LIST) HAVING ONE SET OF COMMON CHARACTERISTICS. THE BOTTOM HALF OF THIS PAGE CONTAINS COMMON DATA THAT IS APPLICABLE TO ONE OR MORE GROUPS (LISTS) OF FREQUENCIES OF THIS ANTENNA. FOR EACH GROUP (LIST) OF FREQUENCIES OF THIS ANTENNA, FIRST FILL IN THE SET OF COMMON CHARACTERISTICS, FOLLOWED BY THE GROUP (LIST) OF FREQUENCIES TO WHICH THE SET APPLIES. USE AS MANY PAGES AS NECESSARY.

PAGE

			3
--	--	--	---

 OF

			3
--	--	--	---

Form ApS4/III - 3b

-END OF DOCUMENT-

page left blank

This document has been
superseded by a later version

This document has been
superseded by a later version

Cospas-Sarsat Secretariat
700 de la Gauchetière West, Suite 2450, Montreal (Quebec) H3B 5M2 Canada
Telephone: +1 514 954 6761 Fax: +1 514 954 6750
Email: mail@cospas-sarsat.int
Website: www.cospas-sarsat.org
